



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/665,176 | 10/665,176 09/19/2003 | | Michael J. Sullivan | B03-40 | 6293 |
| 40990 | 7590 | 01/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ACUSHNE 333 BRIDG | | | GORDON, RAEANN | | |
| P. O. BOX 9 | | 1 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| FAIRHAVE | N, MA | 02719 | 3711 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/665,176 | SULLIVAN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Sum | mary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | • | Raeann Gorden | 3711 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this | communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | , | | | |
| If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period | OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w rriod for reply will, by statute, tree months after the mailing | | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communica | ion(s) filed on 03 Se | eptember 2004. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . | · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 10-23</u> | is/are nending in the | e annlication | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ | · - | • • | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allow | | in nom consideration. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 10-23</u> | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are object | · | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject | | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected | to by the Evaminer | • | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on | • | | - - - - - - | | | |
| | | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | | | | |
| | • | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | , , | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is o | • | • | , , | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | · | | | | |
| _ | f a alaim for foreign | nriarity under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440(a) | (4) 07 (5) | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made o a) All b) Some * c) N | | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(a) or (t). | | | |
| | | hove been received | | | | |
| = | | | am Na | | | |
| | · · | have been received in Application | | | | |
| application from the | • | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | | | |
| · · | | of the certified copies not receive | d | | | |
| 555 the attached detailed Of | inso action for a list t | s. and domined dopied flot receive | u . | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | A) 🔲 lataa ia 0 | (DTO 442) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing | Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P | | 5) Notice of Informal Page | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 6) Other: | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/665,176

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melvin et al. (5,779,562) in view of Sasaki et al (6,620,059). Regarding claims 1-3, Melvin discloses a golf ball comprising an inner core, outer core, inner cover, and outer cover (fig 1). The inner core has a maximum diameter of 35 mm and the outer core has a maximum diameter of 40 mm (col. 9). Therefore, the inner core may be up to 87.5% of the total volume of the core. The core layers have a compression from 30 to 85 (col. 5, lines 5-10). The core layers have a Shore C hardness from 30 to 90 (fig. 1). Melvin does not disclose a center core larger than 35 mm. However, Sasaki teaches a four piece golf ball comprising a center diamter from 25 to 40 mm (0.98 to 1.57 inches). Regarding claims 6 and 11, the core layers are made from rubber, a cross-linking agent, a filler, and organic peroxide (cols. 5-6). Regarding claim 7, the outer core has a diameter from 30 to 40 mm or 1.18 to 1.57 inches (col. 9). Regarding claim 10, the core layers have a Shore C hardness from 30 to 90 (fig. 1). Regarding claims 12 and 13, the inner cover layer has a Shore D hardness of at least 60 (fig. 1). Regarding claim 14 and 15, the inner cover layer has a

Application/Control Number: 10/665,176

Art Unit: 3711

thickness from 0.01 to 0.10 inch (col. 12, lines 30-31). Regarding claims 16 and 17, the outer cover layer has a Shore D hardness of 55 or less (fig. 1). Regarding claims 18 and 19, the outer cover layer has a thickness from 0.010 to 0.10 inch (col. 15, lines 5-6). Regarding claim 20, the inner cover layer may be made from an ethylene/acrylic acid copolymer or ethylene/methacrylic acid copolymer (col. 13, lines 30-35). The outer cover layer may be made from polyurethane (col. 18). Melvin overlaps the each every limitation claimed by applicant. One of ordinary skill in the art would have varied the ranges for enhanced properties.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melvin et al. (5,779,562). Melvin discloses a golf ball comprising an inner core, outer core, inner cover, and outer cover (fig 1). The inner core has a maximum diameter of 35 mm and the outer core has a maximum diameter of 40 mm (col. 9). Therefore, the inner core may be up to 87.5% of the total volume of the core. The core layers have a compression from 40 to 60 (col. 5, lines 5-10). The core layers have a Shore C hardness from 30-90. While Melvin does not disclose ranges identical to applicant's they do overlap. Therefor the golf ball in the instant invention is obvious over Melvin.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,6, 7, and 10-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,176

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,176 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg December 23, 2004

> RAEANN GORDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER